PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
of a collection of information unloce if displays a collection of information unloce in the collection of information u

		THO DELISON	Application Number		ormation unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 116,734			
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date		November 20, 2000			
FORM			First Named Inventor	Vinc	ncent K. Chan			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Art Unit	2826	2826			
			Examiner Name	A. W	Williams			
Tot	al Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	001	00.01.0012			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
	Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement (Supplemental) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Ad Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	idress	After Allowance communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Return postcard.			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT								
Firm or Individual name 48.126								

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	MonaLisa Adams		
Signature		Date	June 22, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Signature Date

June 22





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chan et al.

Serial No.: 09/716,734

Art Unit: 2826

Filing Date: November 20, 2000 Confirmation No.: 7999

Our File No.: 00100.01.0012 Docket No.: 0100.0100120

Examiner: A. Williams

Title:

INTEGRATED CIRCUIT PACKAGE AND METHOD OF FABRICATING

SAME

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of First Class Mailing I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Comm. for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 28313-1450, on this date.

Date

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

Dear Sir:

This is in response to a non-final Office Action mailed March 22, 2004. Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

On page 2 of the present Office Action, the Examiner cites 35 U.S.C. §103(a), but then asserts claims 1, 3-9, 12 and 17 as being anticipated by multiple references. Applicants initially assert the rejection as improper as under 35 U.S.C. §102(a), all limitations must be disclosed by a single reference and the Examiner asserts on page 3 that "Lai et al. fail[s] to explicitly show..." Therefore, the present rejection is improper as Lai fails to disclose all of the claimed limitations. Withdrawal of the present rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) is requested.

Although, based on the language of the present office action, Applicants also submit that claims 1, 3-9, 12 and 17 are allowable over U.S. Patent No. 6,326,568 ("Lai") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,414,385 ("Huang"). One of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to combine Lai with Huang because, among other reasons, Lai explicitly teaches away from being combined with Huang.